

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/822,211	OHNO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Monique T. Cole	1743	

All Participants:

Status of Application: non-final

(1) Monique T. Cole.

(3) _____.

(2) Keiko Takagi.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2006

Time: 10:15 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
of record

Claims discussed:
of record

Prior art documents discussed:
of record


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Ms. Takagi was left a message informing her of the Examiner's intent to reopen prosecution in the instant application

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


MONIQUE T. COLE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)